



**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE  
RAMONA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP**

A regular meeting of the Ramona Community Planning Group (RCPG) was held March 6, 2008, at 7 p.m., at the Ramona Community Center, 434 Aqua Lane, Ramona, California.

In Attendance:	Chad Anderson	Torry Brean	Matt Deskovick
	Carolyn Dorroh	Katherine L. Finley	Kathy S. Finley
	Dennis Grimes	Kristi Mansolf	Vivian Osborn (Att. 7:15)
	Dennis Sprong	Luauna Stines	Angus Tobiason

Excused Absence: Chris Anderson, Andrew Simmons and Helene Radzik

Dennis Grimes, Vice Chair of the RCPG, acted as Chair of the meeting. Kristi Mansolf, Secretary of the RCPG, acted as Secretary of the meeting.

- ITEM 1: The Chair Called the Meeting to Order at 7:06 p.m.**
- ITEM 2: Pledge of Allegiance**
- ITEM 3: The Secretary Determined a Quorum was Present**
- ITEM 4: LIST OF ABSENTEES FOR THIS MEETING. Determination of Excused and Unexcused Absences by the RCPG – Secretary Will Read Record Separately from the Minutes – Ms. Anderson, Mr. Simmons, and Ms. Radzik had excused absences.**
- ITEM 5: Approval of Order of the Agenda (Action)**

**MOTION: TO FOLLOW THE ORDER OF THE AGENDA.**

Upon motion made by Luauna Stines and seconded by Torry Brean, the Motion **passed 11-0-0-0-4**, with Chris Anderson, Vivian Osborn, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

- 6. Roberts Rules of Order – Rules of Parliamentary Procedure to be Followed during Meeting. The Brown Act – General Information on What it is and How it Applies to the RCPG (Chair)**

The Chair said Roberts Rules of Order govern how the RCPG meetings are conducted. The Brown Act delineates how the public participates.

- 7. ANNOUNCEMENTS & Correspondence Received (Chair)**
  - A. Draft EIR for Sunrise Powerlink Public review begins 1-8-08 and ends 4-11-08. Community Briefing Held 2-18 at 434 Aqua Lane Hearing Held in Ramona – Public Participation Hearing held at: Charles Nunn Performing Arts Center, 1521 Hanson Lane, Ramona, CA 92065, Tuesday, Feb. 26, 2008, 7:00 p.m. Available online: <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/Environment/info/aspen/sunrise/toc-deir.htm>**

The Chair announced that the Community Briefing and Public Participation Hearings occurred, but he was not aware of anyone from the RCPG attending.

**ITEM 8: NON-AGENDA ITEMS Presentations from Public on Land Issues not on Current Agenda (No Presentations on Ongoing Projects – These Must be Agendized)**

Speaker: Luauna Stines, Ramona Resident

Luauna Stines said a prayer. She also invited everyone present to attend a Support Our Troops Rally at her coffee shop, Oasis Garden Deli, on April 12. Three POW's, WW II vets, will share their stories.

**ITEM 9: Presentation by Ed Zielanski, Capital Improvement Projects (CIP), DPW On Projects in Ramona: Ramona St. Extension (Boundary to Warnock) – Status Update; Dye Rd. Extension Phase I (Dye to San Vicente) – Status Update; San Vicente Rd. Improvements Phase I (Warnock to Wildcat Canyon) – Status Update & Preliminary Cross-Section Alternatives (Discussion and Possible Action) (w/T&T)**

Ms. Mansolf gave the T&T report. Concerning Ramona St., there were concerns that the rest of Ramona St. will not be improved, which will be a problem due to the increase in traffic using it once it is completed. Also, RMWD has to do work on the utilities. Request to limit placement of utilities and fire hydrants outside of the pathway; and to not put bollards around them. The Dye to San Vicente project would follow the GP2020 alignment. Mr. Hickman suggested planting along DG side between road and DG to keep the erosion from going into the roadway. Mr. Hickman recommended that the road width be kept at 12 feet wide on San Vicente Rd. otherwise people will see the straightened out section as a way to go faster. Mr. Hickman also requested the pathway to be only on the north side.

Mr. Manzanan attended in Mr. Zielanski's place. All 3 project being presented are CIP projects. All were approved in concept 3 years ago by the RCPG. They are here to give an update. Regarding the Ramona St. Extension, the maps presented show an interim road cross section of 18 feet at half width. This will include 2 lanes and a bike lane plus a 10 foot pathway in the existing right-of-way. The other side of the road will have a walkway in conformance with the community trails plan. This is in interim plan to open up the road and provide a left turn section for each pocket in the next fiscal year. The lanes will be 13 feet wide and the bike lane and walkway will be 5 feet. Originally the bike lane and walkway were to be paved, but now DG is to be used. The start up date is April of 2009. The construction should take 10 months. The path of the road will curve across the field of the hog ranch in an "S" configuration with the 90 degree angles removed. The project is in compliance with the GP Update. The speed limit will be whatever the design calls for.

Ms. Dorroh asked about the aqueduct?

Mr. Manzanan said the San Diego County Water Authority owns it. It will be considered in the project.

Ms. Dorroh said that drainage in this area has been a problem in rainy years.

Mr. Manzanan said drainage will be addressed in the design. For traffic control at the intersection

of Warnock and Ramona St., there will probably be a stop sign. They aren't anticipating much more traffic. This alignment was recommended by the RCPG. It is better for the topography.

Ms. Osborn said she will be interested in reviewing the studies for the project when they are available.

Mr. Deskovick asked about the possibility of having directional lanes, and switching them in the morning and in the late afternoon.

Mr. Manzanan said this has never been done in San Diego. Standards must be complied with.

Ms. Dorroh said lane switching is done in Georgia.

Mr. Tobiason said that the alignment of the South Bypass has been on the books for 25 years. It goes across Creelman and Keyes to Hwy 67. The County has already taken some of the property when lots have been split.

Ms. Dorroh asked about eminent domain?

Mr. Manzanan said there is a new, longer process with eminent domain. He hopes not to have to go through this, but to use market value. They are not at that stage yet.

For San Vicente Rd. Improvements Phase I (Warnock to Wildcat Canyon), the project will be done in 2 phases. It will be 50 feet wide with a bike lane on one side of the road and a pathway on the other. Trails will be DG.

Mr. Deskovick asked if the road could be widened more than what it planned, since they will already be doing the work?

Mr. Manzanan said T&T agrees with the proposed width. Currently the design for all 3 projects is 50 percent complete. There will be acquisition of right of way, and then construction. All 3 projects are being paid for with TIF and transnet tax funds.

**MOTION: TO ACCEPT THE ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AS PRESENTED AND URGE TIMELY COMPLETION OF THAT EFFORT.**

Upon motion made by Luauna Stines and seconded by Dennis Sprong, the Motion **passed 10-1-1-0-3**, with Matt Deskovick voting no, Vivian Osborn abstaining, and Chris Anderson, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**MOTION: TO SEND COMMENTS.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Angus Tobiason, the Motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Chris Anderson, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**ITEM 10: Chelsea Inv. Corp. Proposal for Senior Housing Project on Land behind K-Mart. Owner: Steve Powell. 3 Acres/2 Parcels (Discussion and Possible Action)**

Mr. Heddenkemp, the architect for Chelsea, presented the proposal. There is funding available through San Diego County to build senior housing. There is a parcel of land behind K-Mart, 3

acres, Chelsea is interested in developing. To obtain funding, there must be community support for the project. Chelsea has built 15 senior facilities in the County. The minimum age of the occupants will be 62 or 65. The Federal Government says that this classification can include disabled people of any age. The project is a State financing program. The County will be reviewing the proposal.

Ms. Stines has concerns that senior housing can switch over to housing for young adults. There is a need for senior housing in Ramona.

A Chelsea representative in the audience said that less than 1 percent of their tenants are below the age limit.

Mr. Heddenkemp said the properties will be rentals maintained by full-time maintenance staff. If the buildings are 2-story, there will be an elevator.

Mr. Tobiason said that we don't want 3-story housing in Ramona. The fire department doesn't have a hook and ladder truck.

Mr. Heddenkemp said it is unlikely there will be 3-story housing in Ramona. Residents will be ambulatory and may have a car.

**MOTION: TO SUPPORT THE SENIOR HOUSING PROJECT IN RAMONA AS PROPOSED BY THE CHELSEA INVESTMENT CORPORATION. TO PROVIDE A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THE CHELSEA INVESTMENT CORPORATION FOR THIS PROPOSAL.**

Upon motion made by Carolyn Dorroh and seconded by Luauna Stines, the Motion **passed 11-1-0-0-3**, with Angus Tobiason voting no, and Chris Anderson, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**ITEM 11. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS**

**11-A: PARKS (Brean) (Action Item)**

**11-A-1: Parks Land Development Ordinance (PLDO) Project Priority List for 2006-2007 – Consideration will be Given to Existing Project Priority List**

Mr. Brean spoke with Brad Roberts of the Dept. of Parks and Recreation regarding PLDO funds. There is \$500,000 available.

Ms. Osborn asked Mr. Brean to find out if there is a time limit to set aside the money if it is not used.

Mr. Brean said that Parks asks us to prioritize projects as much as we need to. Mr. Roberts says he has PLDO forms/applications. Mr. Brean will get the information regarding the ROCC project that Parks needs to include that project on the PLDO list.

**11-B: GP Update Plan (formerly 2020 Community Plan)(Anderson)  
(Possible Action Item)**

**11-B-1: Consideration of Sending in Comments on the Technical Review of  
the Land Use Portion of GP Update Plan**

**MOTION: TO REQUEST THE COUNTY EXTEND THE PUBLIC REVIEW TIMEFRAME ON THE TECHNICAL REVIEW OF THE LAND USE PORTION OF THE DOCUMENT SO WE CAN GET THE INFORMATION FROM THE COUNTY AND RESPOND TO IT.**

Upon motion made by Katherine L. Finley and seconded by Angus Tobiason, the Motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Chris Anderson, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**11-C: WEST (Mansolf) (Action Item)**

**11-C-1: Notice of Intent to Adopt a Negative Declaration or Mitigated Negative Declaration for P06-092, Log No. 06-09-024, Wireless Telecom Facility (50 foot faux monopine and accessory equipment structure), 19109 Horizon View Dr., on Clevenger Canyon. Public Review Period ends 3-7-08. Available at [http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa\\_public\\_review.html](http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa_public_review.html)**

Ms. Mansolf gave the West report. The proposal is for a 50 foot monopine with antennas attached at 48 feet. This site could be a co-location site in the future. It could hold up to 2 more carriers depending on what the requirements are for their network. The house on site burned in October of 2007. The roof on the equipment was to match the roof of the house, and be red tile. Three pines, 25 feet high, in 36 inch planters will be planted to offset the visual impacts. The County has initiated the enforcement of alternate site analysis and asks cell site applicants to review a map that shows cell sites and justify if they are unable to co-locate onto any of the existing sites. A noise study and visual analysis have been completed. The project will meet the 45 db noise level at the property line.

Ms. Mansolf said both the West Subcommittee and the RCPG have approved the project previously. The applicant is contributing to TIF for the addition of .1 ADT per day. A noise mitigation wall will be built around the tree and equipment structure. There was discussion on types of trees to be planted. Pine trees go up explosively in a fire. It was suggested oaks be planted, in addition to 2 pine trees – which will make the monopine blend better, and that smaller planters be used to help the trees survive. The site is visible from many areas of Ramona.

The applicant was commended for the company's willingness to work with the community to make cell sites more compatible with our area. The previous conditions we asked for – putting socks over the antennas and extending the branches further, have been incorporated into the project conditions.

Ms. Mansolf brought forward the West Subcommittee motion (the West Subcommittee approved the project with the following recommendations, with a vote of 7 yes votes with 4 members absent.)

**MOTION: TO ACCEPT THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION WITH THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- **PLANT SMALLER PINES (24-INCH BOX) TO GIVE THEM A BETTER CHANCE FOR SURVIVAL, AND 3 TO 5 OAKS TO OFFSET VISUAL IMPACTS.**
- **INSTEAD OF THE CONCRETE BLOCK STRUCTURE PROPOSED, MAKE THE BUILDING MATERIAL BE EARTH-COLORED SLUMPSTONE.**
- **MAKE THE ROOF OF THE STRUCTURE LIGHT WEIGHT CONCRETE TILE (BROWN CONCRETE TILE) TO BLEND BETTER**

**WITH THE SURROUNDINGS.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Luauna Stines, the Motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Chris Anderson, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**11-D: EAST (Finley) (No Business)**

**11-E: SOUTH (Stines) (No Business)**

**11-F: AHOPE (Osborn) (Action Items)**

**11-F-1: The Value of the Ramona Colonnade Heritage Eucalyptus Trees as our Historical Community Character. Amend SD County Zoning Ordinance (7634) (w/CUDA and T&T)**

Ms. Osborn gave the AHOPE Subcommittee report. The colonnade was planted by our founding fathers who wanted to enhance the roadway. The existing ordinance has been in place since the RCPG created it in 1989. Since then, it has been the opinion of some that the ordinance needs to be updated to better protect the colonnade when new development is proposed.

Mr. Laub, project consultant, said an island design was created to save the tree on the corner of Hwy 67 and Ramona St., but the design was rejected by DPW. DPW wants to widen Ramona St. and have a right-turn pocket.

Ms. Osborn said there is an island design at Harbor Dr. and Ash with a traffic signal on it. She has spoke to the designer regarding this project. It hasn't created problems. She would like the existing ordinance amended to add value to the colonnade and also to recognize them as contributing to community character. Three members of AHOPE voted to support the ordinance as is.

Katherine L. Finley remembers the trees from when she was a kid. The colonnade makes Ramona unique from other communities. One by one the giant trees keep disappearing. She is in favor of changing the ordinance. There was opposition to removing the colonnade years ago. Now they are being removed 1 tree at a time. Ms. Finley likes the island design and wants to see the tree worked around.

Ms. Osborn said the Ramona Tree Trust would like to see the ordinance amended to recognize heritage trees and each tree having its own value. Each tree is evaluated differently. Ramona should send the message to CalTrans and DPW that the tree is valuable and we want them to work around it.

Ms. Dorroh finds the ordinance unacceptable. It doesn't save the trees through mitigation. She used to live at Ramona St. and Warnock. An 8-year old eucalyptus tree was knocked over on her property and she received \$4,500 cash from her insurance company. The Ramona Tree Trust hired Sue Wade to look into federal protection for the colonnade. Due to the fires, Ms. Wade has been overwhelmed and unable to work on this. Ms. Wade said there are 2 other colonnades in the United States of significance that have received historic designation status. The County has saved the Black Canyon bridge because they felt it should be preserved. They are building another bridge for driving on, and leaving the Black Canyon bridge for people to enjoy. Ms. Dorroh feels the County should be able to find a way to preserve the trees. There has been discussion on tourism and how to bring people to Ramona. The Ramona story was written here. Ramona was once called Nuevo. The name was changed to Ramona to bring tourists here. Mr.

Collier donated 2,000 trees to Ramona in 1909. The community planted those trees, and the Board of Supervisors was responsible for their growth. No one at the Board of Supervisors or DPLU can produce any information on this. The trees are a community asset. Fifteen gallon trees or an equivalent is an insult. Changing an ordinance takes forever and won't affect the Long's Drugs project. Ms. Dorroh said she chose to live in Ramona because of the colonnade.

Mr. Deskovick said he feels that we should keep all of the colonnade trees.

Ms. Stines said she likes the trees but we have to plan for Ramona. The colonnade would not an issue if there were no Long's Drugs. Ramona is going to grow. One tree is being removed. It is being relaced by 14 trees that will grow fast. She said it is unfair to put a price on the trees – it is like a form of manipulation.

Mr. Tobiason remembers 70 years ago when the army paratroopers got hooked up in the trees. He has known of several people who ran into the trees. He has 6 grandchildren learning to drive. He doesn't want them, as inexperienced drivers, going to the high school, turning the corner and running into the tree. He wants to see what the Ramona Tree Trust has done with the money they have received. The RCPG selected them to be the stewards for the colonnade.

Mr. Anderson said that people will be laughing at us in the future for permitting an unsafe condition on the corner if we put an island in with a tree in the middle of the road. They got rid of the trees at K-Mart, but now the new ones are coming back.

Mr. Brean said CUDA voted to accept 5:1 for mitigation. Daryll Beck lost trees in the fire and the insurance company would give him no more than \$500 each. He did not feel money was a way to evaluate the trees. The intersection will be improved. If losing a tree will make the road safer, then we have to sacrifice the tree.

Ms. Kathy S. Finley asked how the trees were saved when they were threatened before and the community opposed it – what did we do as a community? The ordinance states the mitigation rate is 1:1.

Ms. Dorroh said that the South Bypass has been on the books for years. This project could take the bulk of the traffic off of Main St. Should the South Bypass be built, there would be no need to remove trees.

Katherine L. Finley says the colonnade is the only thing that makes Ramona unique. Years ago her best friend ran into this tree and died. She is also against putting value on the tree. The trees by Bank of America and K-Mart are very spindly. For Bank of America, she feels they have been growing 25 years.

Speaker: Beverly Maes, Ramona Resident

Ms. Maes said the AHOPE Chair has held only a couple of meetings in the last 2 years and both were concerning tree-related issues. She feels the AHOPE Subcommittee is an agent for the Ramona Tree Trust. She will be contacting Cheryl Jones concerning potential Brown Act violations.

Mr. Grimes read 2 letters from Ramona residents opposing the loss of the trees. There was an additional letter from another community member requesting the ordinance be written to better protect the colonnade resource.

**MOTION: THAT THE CURRENT ORDINANCE REMAIN WITH THE EXCEPTION OF 1-A, "THE RAMONA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP MUST APPROVE THE REMOVAL ~~SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF ANY PROPOSAL TO REMOVE~~ OF ANY OF THE EXISTING TREES." AND 1-B, "ANY TREES THAT ARE REMOVED MUST BE REPLACED ON THE BASIS OF FOUR ONE TREES REPLACED FOR EACH TREE REMOVED PRIOR TO THE FINAL APPROVAL OF THE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT."**

Upon motion made by Luauna Stines and seconded by Torry Brean, the Motion passed 9-3-0-0-3, with Carolyn Dorroh, Kristi Mansolf, and Vivian Osborn voting no, and Chris Anderson, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**11-F-2: Review Mitigated Negative Declaration for Longs Drug Store project  
S06-024/ER 06-09-016. Public review ends 3-28-08. Available at  
[http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa\\_public\\_review.html](http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa_public_review.html) (w/CUDA)**

Ms. Stines said she supports the Long's Drugs project as it will benefit Ramona. They are putting in a drive-through pharmacy that will be convenient for mothers with small children and the elderly; the site will be improved with curb, gutter and sidewalk; the most congested intersection in the community is getting improved; the rubble onsite will be removed; the project applicant is paying TIF; and the applicant is planting more trees than are required.

Ms. Dorroh said the Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) fails to address the scenic resource of the colonnade. How many historic trees still exist today?

Mr. Brean reported the CUDA Subcommittee recommended approved of the MND.

Ms. Osborn has concerns with the utilities. She feels the sewer district doesn't have capacity for this project.

Mr. Laub said that per the RMWD, he has received a letter from them saying saying water and sewer are available to the site. There were 2 meters on the property as a result of past development. The Ramona St. road widening is forcing the trees to be removed.

Mr. Deskovick asked for clarification on why there cannot be an island for the tree?

Mr. Laub said the tree is in the road right-of-way. Eucalyptus trees have a shallow root system. The tree could fall and cause damage.

Mr. Deskovick asked about the drive-through pharmacy – will it be able to accommodate dooleys? He had asked about this earlier in the project review process, and the design hasn't changed. Can this be done easily? Many people in Ramona have very large trucks that won't fit in the drive-through as designed.

Mr. Laub said he would pass this information along.

**MOTION: TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED MITIGATED  
NEGATIVE DECLARATION.**



Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Luauna Stines, the Motion **passed 8-3-1-0-3**, with Carolyn Dorroh, Katherine L. Finley, and Kristi Mansolf voting no, Vivian Osborn abstaining, and Chris Anderson, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**MOTION: TO SEND COMMENTS.**

Upon motion made by Vivian Osborn and seconded by Kristi Mansolf, the Motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3**, with Chris Anderson, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**11-G: CUDA (Anderson) (Action Items)**

**11-G-1: Presentation of Spangler Peak, LLC, Regarding GP 2020 Designation.  
Property is 280+ Acres on Creelman Lane – For GP 2020 Plan Applicant  
Will be Allowed Less Density (previously met with South, T&T)**

Matt Witman, part owner of the property, was present to explain the project. They have avocado and grapefruit growing on the property. There is a concern that water may become an issue. They have had an agreement with the RMWD to purchase the water from the San Vicente Wastewater Treatment Plant for 30 years. Their contract is ending. There are slopes and other constraints on the land. They intend to farm as long as possible. They want to keep the current density in the GP Update.

Ms. Mansolf said that referrals have been reviewed and accepted or rejected by DPLU and the Board of Supervisors in the past couple of years. This is really late in the GP Update process to be introducing a referral. Although the applicants have requested to be on the April 7 special meeting agenda, it may be good to introduce this referral to DPLU now.

Mr. Tobiason said he is concerned that the applicants will put 20 houses on 1 acre. He wants to see them spread out.

Mr. Witman said the County told him to work through the RCPG. They are looking at options with regards to sewer vs. septic. There will be 2 access points – 1 on Gem and 1 on Creelman.

Ms. Katherine L. Finley said it is reasonable to retain the current 4 acre zoning. The land is very constrained with boulders and topography.

**MOTION: THE RCPG SUPPORTS THE SPANGLER PEAK PROPERTY TO  
MAINTAIN THE CURRENT 4-ACRE MINIMUM LOT SIZE IN LIEU OF FUTURE GP  
UPDATE CHANGES.**

Upon motion made by Matt Deskovick and seconded by Dennis Sprong, the Motion **passed 8-3-1-0-3**, with Carolyn Dorroh, Vivian Osborn, and Angus Tobiason voting no, Torry Brean abstaining, and Chris Anderson, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

(Ms. Osborn left at 10:40.)

**11-G-2: S08-006, Wood Lot, 2521 Main St. at Wynola. B and D5 Designators Apply  
(Design Review and the Limiting of Curb Cuts on Main St.) 1.00 acre.  
Proposed Work is the Replacement of a See-Through Wire Fence with a 6-  
high, No-See-Through Fence, such that the Firewood Will not be Visible**

**from Public Right-of-Way. Area to be enclosed is .26 acres in size, or 54 feet wide by 210 feet long. Applicant is Cindy Lopez. Owner is George Newman (w/T&T)**

No applicant/proponent was present. No one showed up to either subcommittee meeting.

**MOTION: TO TABLE.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 11-0-0-0-4**, with Chris Anderson, Vivian Osborn, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**11-G-3: The Value of the Ramona Colonnade Heritage Eucalyptus Trees as our Historical Community Character (w/T&T and AHOPE)**

**11-G-4: Review Mitigated Negative Declaration for Longs Drug Store project S06-024/ER 06-09-016. Public review ends 3-28-08. Available at [http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa\\_public\\_review.html](http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa_public_review.html) (w/AHOPE)**

**11-H: TRANSPORTATION/TRAILS (Simmons)**

**11-H-1: Presentation by Ed Zielanski, Capital Improvement Projects, DPW On Projects in Ramona: Ramona St. Extension (Boundary to Warnock) – Status Update; Dye Rd. Extension Phase I (Dye to San Vicente) – Status Update; San Vicente Rd. Improvements Phase I (Warnock to Wildcat Canyon) – Status Update & Preliminary Cross-Section Alternatives (also at top of agenda)**

**11-H-2: S08-006, Wood Lot, 2521 Main St. at Wynola. B and D5 Designators Apply (Design Review and the Limiting of Curb Cuts on Main St.) 1.00 acre. Proposed Work is the Replacement of a See-Through Wire Fence with a 6-high, No-See-Through Fence, such that the Firewood Will not be Visible from Public Right-of-Way. Area to be enclosed is .26 acres in size, or 54 feet wide by 210 feet long. Applicant is Cindy Lopez. Owner is George Newman (w/CUDA)**

**11-H-3: The Value of the Ramona Colonnade Heritage Eucalyptus Trees as our Historical Community Character (w/AHOPE and CUDA)**

**MOTION TO TABLE ITEMS 11-H-4 THROUGH 11-H-6.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Kathy S. Finley, the Motion **passed 11-0-0-0-4**, with Chris Anderson, Vivian Osborn, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**11-H-4: Park to Forest Trail Easement – Trail Segment from the Ramona Community Master Trails Plan, Proposed Alignment from the Community Park to Pile St. to be Reviewed. Trail will Provide Access to the Cleveland National Forest**

**11-H-5: Department of Parks and Recreation, Community Trails Master Plan/County Trails Program – Possible Update of Ramona Community Trails and Pathways Plan to be Considered**

**11-H-6: Consideration of Possible Evacuation Routes to add to the Ramona Community Protection and Evacuation Plan**

- 11-I: DESIGN REVIEW (Anderson) – Update on Projects Reviewed by the Design Review Board** – Ms. Anderson was not in attendance, so there was no report. RCPG members were asked by the Chair to read the Design Review Board minutes provided by Ms. Anderson.

**ITEM 12: Other Business**

**A. Consideration/Update on TIF (Discussion and Possible Action)**

Ms. Mansolf said the most recent changes to the TIF sent to the RCPG, are the ones going into effect. The Board of Supervisors had the second reading of the item. The revised TIF will be effective on either 4-26-08 or 4-28-08.

**B. Consideration of Disposition of RCPG Storage Boxes, Currently Stored in an RCPG Member's Garage (Discussion and Possible Action)**

Chad Anderson said he had storage space available and could possibly accommodate storing some of the boxes. The people with the boxes need to get in touch with him.

**C. Draft EIR for Sunrise Powerlink. Public Review Ends 4-8. (Discussion and Possible Action) – Not addressed.**

**D. Discussion of Bicycle Trails along Major Roads, with Regards to Safety Issues (Discussion and Possible Action)**

Ms. Deskovick said he has concerns with bikes on our winding highways. From the bottom of Highland Valley Rd. to Mt. Woodson School is an area of concern. Also, all of the Old Julian Highway. From Weekend Villa Rd. down Hwy 78, Magnolia east on Hwy 78, Warnock to Wildcat – all are areas of concern. He wanted to explore if anything could be done to keep bicycles off the rural highways.

Mr. Sprong said there are safety concerns on the roads. Possibly there is a bicycle transportation element that requires bike trails as an alternate form of transportation.

Ms. Mansolf said that bicycle trails are included in the Ramona Community Plan, and in the Ramona Community Trails Master Plan. Possibly there is a State requirement for bicycle trails.

Mr. Deskovick wanted to discuss this matter when Mr. Simmons was present, as the T&T Chair.

**MOTION: TO TABLE.**

Upon motion made by Kristi Mansolf and seconded by Katherine L. Finley, the Motion **passed 10-1-0-0-4**, with Torry Brean voting no, and Chris Anderson, Vivian Osborn, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

**ITEM 13: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (Chair)**

**A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES 3-6-08 (Action)**

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF 2-7-08.**

Upon motion made by Katherine L. Finley, (not sure who seconded it), the Motion **passed 11-0-0-0-4**, with Chris Anderson, Vivian Osborn, Helene Radzik, and Andrew Simmons absent.

- B. Concerns From Members – None**
- C. Names Submitted for New Subcommittee Members (Action) – None**
- D. Agenda Requests -- None**
- E. Request from RPRA to RCPG Subcommittee Chairs – Please Present Them with a List of 2008 Meeting Dates ASAP**
- F. Reaffirmation of 4-17 Special Meeting on GP Update (Action)**

It was announced that the special meeting on the GP Update will be April 17, 2008. There were no objections.

**ITEM 14: Adjournment – 11:05 p.m.**

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf